IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF

V. CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:16-CV-00622-CWR-FKB

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DEFENDANT

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI'S DAUBERT MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFF'S CLINICAL REVIEW TEAM

The expert testimony of Plaintiff's Clinical Review Team (CRT) should be excluded as follows:

1. Plaintiff designated a CRT comprised of six experts to offer opinion testimony in

this case. Plaintiff's CRT reviewed a sample of 154 individuals who have received treatment at

one or more of Mississippi's State Hospitals. The methodology Plaintiff's CRT utilized in doing

so was to answer four specific questions.

2. Question No. 1 is would the individual have avoided or spent less time in a State

Hospital if he/she had been provided reasonable community-based services? The methodology

concerning this question is flawed because, under Mississippi statutory law, the State Hospitals

cannot deny admission to an individual who is committed to a State Hospital by a chancery

court. Because the State Hospitals must comply with the commitment orders of the chancery

courts, it is irrelevant whether Plaintiff's CRT believes the individual would have avoided or

spent less time in a State Hospital if he/she had been provided reasonable community-based

services.

3. Question No. 4 is whether the individual was appropriate for and would benefit

from community-based services and, if so, what services would the individual need? This

methodology is flawed because, as Plaintiff's CRT admits, the answer to the question of whether

an individual is appropriate for and would benefit from community-based services will always be "yes." Because the CRT's methodology is designed to reach a particular result -i.e., that Mississippi allegedly unnecessarily institutionalizes adults with serious mental illness (SMI), the testimony of Plaintiff's CRT is neither relevant nor reliable, and should be excluded.

- 4. Moreover, Plaintiff's Complaint expressly states that it does not address Plaintiff's claims regarding adults with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities (IDD). Because Plaintiff's Complaint does not address Plaintiff's claims regarding IDD, Plaintiff should be prohibited from offering any testimony or evidence regarding IDD.
- 5. The State's Motion is based on its supporting Memorandum and the following exhibits:²
 - Exhibit 1: Expert Report of Robert Drake.
 - Exhibit 2: Deposition of Beverly Bell-Shambley.
 - Exhibit 3: Deposition of Daniel Byrne.
 - Exhibit 4: Deposition of Robert Drake.
 - Exhibit 5: Deposition of Judith Baldwin.
 - Exhibit 6: Deposition of Katherine Burson.

Relief Requested

For these reasons, the State's Daubert Motion to Exclude Testimony of Plaintiff's Clinical Review Team should be granted.

This, the 21st day of December, 2018.

¹ Dkt, 1, Plaintiff's Complaint, n.1, p. 26.

² The State is filing a separate motion to file all of these exhibits under seal because they contain protected health information.

Respectfully submitted,

PHELPS DUNBAR LLP

BY: /s/ James W. Shelson

Reuben V. Anderson, MB 1587 W. Thomas Siler, MB 6791 James W. Shelson, MB 9693 Nash E. Gilmore, MB 105554 4270 I-55 North Jackson, Mississippi 39211-6391 Post Office Box 16114 Jackson, Mississippi 39236-6114 Telephone: 601-352-2300 Telecopier: 601-360-9777

Telecopier: 601-360-9777
Email: andersor@phelps.com
 silert@phelps.com
 shelsonj@phelps.com
 nash.gilmore@phelps.com

Harold Pizzetta, III, MB 99867 Assistant Attorney General General Civil Division Walter Sillers Building 550 High Street Jackson, MS 39201

Telephone: 601-359-3816 Telecopier: 601-359-2003 Email: HPIZZ@ago.state.ms.us

Mary Jo Woods, MB 10468 Special Assistant Attorney General Mississippi Attorney General's Office Walter Sillers Building 550 High Street Jackson, MS 39201

Telephone: 601-359-3020 Telecopier: 601-359-2003

Email: MWOOD@ago.state.ms.us

Attorneys for the State of Mississippi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on December 21, 2018, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to all ECF counsel of record in this action.

/s/ James W. Shelson
JAMES W. SHELSON